

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,223		12/05/2001	Xiaorong He	C-3409/1/US	4333	
26648	7590	03/16/2004		EXAMINER		
PHARMACIA CORPORATION				WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
GLOBAL PATENT DEPARTMENT POST OFFICE BOX 1027				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63006				1617		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY. DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

U.S. GPO: 1996-421-632/40208

DATE MAILED:

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS						
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
whi the	thortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire						
Dis	position of Claims						
X DD	Claim(s)						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Į X	Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Ap	plication Papers						
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed onisapproved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
Ε	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
	received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
. *	Certified copies not received:						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Atta	achment(s)						
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892						
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
	Interview Summary, PTO-413						
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9/96)

Application/Control Number: 10/008,223

Art Unit: 1617

The restriction is recast in view of applicant's traversal of the restriction between Groups II and III and also in view of errors resulting in a garbled election of species requirement over claim 5.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 514, subclass
 1+.
- II. Claims 18-53, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass
- III. Claims 54-61, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 264, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced by a materially different product such as a spray.

Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 10/008,223

Art Unit: 1617

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as one in which the effervescent agents are encapsulated and/or one in which the effervescent agents are not in intimate association.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicants elect either Group I or Group II, the following elections of species are required:

Claim 5 (exemplary) is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising dosage forms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claim 7 (exemplary) is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising acids. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,223

Art Unit: 1617

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that, should applicants elect the product, the methods will be rejoined upon allowable subject matter per MPEP 821.04.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500